

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/767,338 | 01/30/2004 | J. Mark Davis | 066077-0026 | 5224 | |
| 7590 04/14/2006 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC | | | DONNELLY, JEROME W | | |
| Suite 300 West 1300 I street, N.W. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Washington, DC 20005 | | | 3764 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/14/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Cummons | 10/767,338 | DAVIS, J. MARK | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Jerome W. Donnelly | 3764 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | _ | · | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 605 | | | | |
| 2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This | -· action is non-final. | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| · | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application | า | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | <u></u> | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | · | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | • | • • | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | have been received | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori | • • | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | • | d in this National Stage | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | | d d | | | |
| | The defined copies not reserved | ч. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | ~ . ~~ | | | |
| Attachment(s) | Primi | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | • | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | te atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | <u></u> | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/767,338

Art Unit: 3764

Response to Applicant Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11-16-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicants arguments the examiner first notes that applicant fails to point out any specific features of which the prior art fails to show. As a <u>combination</u> to make the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection. Davis discloses the <u>egg shaped</u> configuration claimed by the applicant, in the environment of a hand exerciser and Sorbothane discloses the teaching of using a viscoelastic material as the material of which hand exercisers are known to be manufacture of (see Sorbothane page 6, Col. 2, lines 9 and 10. Sorbothane discloses this material may be used in hand exercising devices. This statement leads the examiner to believe that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to manufacture the device of Davis of a material such as Sorbothane which is visco-elastic.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5

USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, in the instant application the motivation to combine the references is strongly suggested by the prior art of Sorbothane page 6, col. 2.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/767,338

Art Unit: 3764

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis in view of Sorbothane.

Claim 1 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in the rejection of the same claims in the office action of 8/22/05.

Davis discloses an egg shaped hand exerciser and Sorbothane teaches manufacturing hand exercisers of Visco-elastic material. Given the above teachings the examiner notes that it would have been obvious to manufacture the device of Davis of Sorbothane.

Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/767,338

Art Unit: 3764

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome Donnelly at telephone number (571) 272-4975.

Jerome Donnelly

JEROME DONNELLY
PRIMARY EXAMINER